

PART A - Initial Impact Assessment

Proposal Name: Public Health Grant Allocation 23/24

EIA ID: 2387

EIA Author: Bethan Plant

Proposal Outline: Describes the allocation of the Public Health Grant for financial year 23/24, describes implementation of technical guidance for the spend of the ring fenced Public Health Grant (including approval of inflation uplift) and outlines progress of the PH Grant Review. The proposal considers inflation uplift for both NHS and Voluntary Community and Faith (VCF) sector providers funded by the ring fenced grant so as to mitigate VCF sector staff being negatively impacted and ensure parity for both NHS and VCF workforce. This proposal is under consideration and further on going work will fully examine all implications.

Proposal Type: Budget

Entered on QTier: No

QTier Ref: #

Year Of Proposal: 23/24

Lead Director for proposal: Greg Fell

Service Area: Public Health

EIA Start Date: 06/10/2023

Lead Equality Objective: Break the cycle and improve life chances

Decision Type

Committees:

Policy Committees

- Strategy & Resources

Portfolio

Primary Portfolio:

Public Health & Integrated Commissioning

EIA is cross portfolio:

No

EIA is joint with another organisation:

No

Overview of Impact

Overview Summary:

Describes how the ring fenced public health grant is allocation for financial year 23/24 in line with national technical guidance. This describes the appropriate use of the spending of the grant to achieve Public Health Outcomes. Describing Public Health mandated functions where grant has to be allocated and seeks a decision on implementation of inflationary uplift (in line with technical guidance) for the NHS and a local decision for VCF providers funded via the grant. The approach described recognises the importance of our local VCF and NHS providers that deliver Public Health Services.

Impacted characteristics:

- Health

Consultation and other engagement

Cumulative Impact

Does the proposal have a cumulative impact:

Yes

The approach for the allocation of the grant has been discussed internally within Sheffield City Council, with key partner organisations such as the Sheffield Integrated Care Board and partners represented at the Sheffield Health and Wellbeing Board.

Impact areas:

Year on Year, Across a Community of Identity/Interest

Initial Sign-Off

Full impact assessment required:

Yes

Review Date:

31/03/2024

PART B - Full Impact Assessment

Health

Staff Impacted:

Yes

Customers Impacted:

Yes

Description of Impact:

The allocation of the ring fenced Public Health Grant has to adhere to national technical guidelines set via the Office of Health Improvement & Disparities (OHID) and the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) that aim to ensure that the funding is allocated to have the greatest population impact and to reduce health inequalities. Locally the grant is monitored to ensure

that it reaches those communities which are over/under represented in needing or requiring services and helps to provide and support individuals to access the services that they need. Specifically the allocation of the grant has an impact on characteristics including; Gender, Race, Disability, Poverty & Faith. The Public Health Grant review is assessing appropriate spend of the grant and investigating to ensure that it continues to meet technical guidelines for appropriate use of the grant and to ensure that it continues to meet Public Health outcomes. Alongside this SCC is making a decision to provide inflation uplift (inline with technical guidance) for the NHS and considering parity for VCF providers to ensure uplift across both NHS and VCF.

Name of Lead Health Officer:

Ruth Granger

Comprehensive Assessment Being Completed:

No

Public Health Lead signed off health impact(s):

Action Plan & Supporting Evidence

Outline of action plan:

From the completion of the EIA the Public Health Grant review process will consider how the grant impacts on: - Reducing people following a fundamental Marmot principle and life expectancy the grant impacts directly impact on the characteristics including process and model for assessing and allocating the Public Health Grant monitoring of spend, contracts and funding that is allocated achieved. The proposal considers inflation uplift for both NHS and VCF fenced grant so as to mitigate VCF sector staff being negatively impacted and VCF workforce. This proposal is under consideration and has implications.

Action plan evidence:

To design a robust process for allocating the Public Health Grant evidence of how the grant is spent evidence has been reviewed to ensure it meets needs. To ensure that the PH Grant Review is evidence based to reinforce the priorities for the city and provide evidence to inform the ring fenced Public Health Grant. The Sheffield Health and Wellbeing Strategy and the spend of the grant is aligned to these: <https://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/documents/s34751/JoiningUp2024.pdf> The strategy identifies priorities for Starting Well, Living Well and the best start in life and identifies the negative impact on individuals ability to 'live well'. This document has been used

allocate and commit allocation of grant funding across the Young People including 0-19 Healthy Child Programme □ V Community Development □ Sexual Health, Substance Misuse the health of the Sheffield population □ Healthy Weight and Public Health Workforce development and infrastructure The <https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/public-health/health-wellbeing> wellbeing of Sheffield residents. This document identifies rationale impact on health outcomes and being vital to healthy living process for allocating PH Grant includes recognition and pre-evidence from the Marmot Review: <https://www.health.org.uk> years-
<https://www.health.org.uk/news/articles-and-reports/2019-07-16-what-works-to-reduce-inequality> reinforces the evidence where impact is greatest and confirms prioritised. The process for allocation of ring fenced Public Health Grant that: - give every child the best start in life - enable all people over their lives - ensure a healthy standard of living for all - creating and developing healthy and sustainable places and of the Public Health Grant will use Marmot principles to allocate recognising our local Public Health Service Plan which identifies workforce to deliver appropriate allocation of the PH grant: and spend we currently have across the grant allocation. - review Developing a Public Health Workforce plan to build speciality city has a workforce to prioritise that the grant is allocated to gender, poverty. We will hold strong on investment into clinical logical model that ties to our health and wellbeing strategy health and wellbeing of those most disadvantaged and living importance of our local VCF sector and the NHS to deliver the Public Health Grant.

Changes made as a result of action plan:

Mitigation

Significant risk after mitigation measures: Yes

Outline of impact and risks: The allocation of PH grant is important and key to tackling inequality. There is a risk that funding is allocated and services designed/developed and provided which increase demand. There is also a risk in relation to parity and ensuring that both NHS and VCF providers funded by the ring fenced PH grant are offered parity and both workforces not differentiated. Providing both with the same inflation uplift will ensure parity however this is under consideration and further work is required to fully examine all associated risks.

Review Date:

31/03/2024